

This article was downloaded by:

On: 25 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Separation Science and Technology

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713708471>

Comparisons of Crystalline Silicotitanate and Resorcinol Formaldehyde Media for Cesium Removal by In-tank Column Processing

Frank G. Smith^a; Si Young Lee^a; William D. King^a; Daniel J. McCabe^a

^a Savannah River National Laboratory, Washington Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC, USA

To cite this Article Smith, Frank G. , Lee, Si Young , King, William D. and McCabe, Daniel J.(2008) 'Comparisons of Crystalline Silicotitanate and Resorcinol Formaldehyde Media for Cesium Removal by In-tank Column Processing', Separation Science and Technology, 43: 9, 2929 — 2942

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01496390802119382

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01496390802119382>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Comparisons of Crystalline Silicotitanate and Resorcinol Formaldehyde Media for Cesium Removal by In-tank Column Processing

Frank G. Smith, Si Young Lee, William D. King, and
Daniel J. McCabe

Savannah River National Laboratory, Washington Savannah River
Company, Aiken, SC, USA

Abstract: Chemical and thermal performance of crystalline silicotitanate (CST) and resorcinol-formaldehyde (RF) ion exchange columns are modeled for configurations that fit inside Savannah River Site waste tanks. Good cesium removal is predicted for both media. More waste can be processed per cycle with CST. Unlike RF, CST is not elutable and requires lead column replacement after each cycle. RF is subject to chemical and radiolytic damage reducing capacity each cycle. Fast cesium loading kinetics for RF allows minimization of exposure. Modeling shows that the baseline cooling system provides adequate cooling to maintain columns within process limits and indicates that removal of the outer cooling jackets may be possible.

Keywords: CST, IE-911, ion exchange, modeling, RF, thermal analysis

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

In-tank ion exchange columns are being considered for the removal of cesium from radioactive waste solutions at the Savannah River Site (SRS) in Aiken, SC. The waste was generated as a legacy by-product of decades of nuclear material processing operations and is currently stored in large, underground carbon steel tanks (750,000–1,000,000 gallons). The waste is caustic with high concentrations of various sodium

Received 12 November 2007; accepted 26 March 2008.

Address correspondence to William D. King, Savannah River National Laboratory, Washington Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC 29808, USA. E-mail: william02.king@srnl.doe.gov

salts and lower concentrations of radionuclides which result in a significant radiation field. Removal of specific radionuclides, including cesium, is required prior to disposal of the bulk waste in an immobilized solid form. In-tank column processing of the waste is being considered as a method to accelerate closure of selected waste tanks.

Two cation exchange media are being considered for cesium removal by in-tank column processing: a granular form of crystalline silicotitanate and a spherical form of resorcinol-formaldehyde resin. Crystalline silicotitanate (CST) is an inorganic material composed of sodium, silicon, titanium, and niobium oxides (1) which is highly selective for cesium ions and is not considered to be elutable or reusable. CST is available in an engineered, granular form known as IONSIV IE-911 (2). The active ion exchange sites contain exchangeable sodium ions located in 8Å tunnels within the solid-state structure (3). It has been shown in laboratory and performance demonstration tests to be highly effective for cesium removal from waste supernates isolated from storage tanks at Hanford (4), SRS (5), and Melton Valley (6). Cesium loaded CST (IE-911) has also been shown to be suitable for vitrification into a final waste form after grinding of the media (6). The granular form of CST was the baseline material considered during the initial design and development of in-tank column configurations for SRS applications (7).

Spherical resorcinol-formaldehyde (RF) was developed and selected as the baseline material for cesium removal in the Hanford River Protection Project Waste Treatment Plant (8). This is an organic material composed of resorcinol (1,3-dihydroxy-benzene) units linked by methylene (-CH₂-) bridges (9). Though granular forms of RF have been studied for cesium removal applications for many years (10), a highly spherical form with excellent hydraulic and handling characteristics was only recently developed (11). RF is an elutable ion exchange material, and cesium elution can be accomplished by conversion of the sodium resin form that exists under waste loading conditions to the acid resin form by contact with 0.5 M nitric acid. As a result, each waste processing cycle with RF does not involve replacement of loaded resin with fresh material. Instead, the cesium is eluted from the resin and the column is regenerated by conversion back to the sodium form. The concentrated cesium product is an acidic liquid phase rather than a solid phase, which is the case with CST. Spherical RF has been utilized for cesium removal with two actual Hanford tank waste supernate samples (12,13). RF resin is susceptible to radiolytic (14,15) and chemical (16) degradation which impacts performance in each successive processing cycle. These properties limit the effective lifetime of RF columns in waste processing operations.

In this study, we compare the efficiency of both ion-exchange media for the removal of cesium from SRS waste. All evaluations and comparisons of the two ion exchange media were conducted for a column design developed during in-tank column evaluations conducted several years ago which assumed that the ion exchange media used for cesium removal was IE-911 (7). Because it is desirable to place the column inside the waste tank for shielding and leak containment, the column diameter and height are limited by the size of available or allowable SRS tank top risers and the tank depth. The baseline column design includes a 28 inch ID cylinder with a 6 inch centerline inner cooling tube and four external cooling jackets prepared from 3.5 inch half-pipe tubes symmetrically distributed around the periphery of the column and oriented along the vertical column height.

MODELING

Chemical and thermal modeling efforts were conducted for the baseline in-tank column design and the engineered forms of CST and RF media. Granular CST is produced by UOP, LLC (Des Plaines, IL) as a material known as IONSIV IE-911. Spherical RF is available through Microbeads AS (Smedsmokorset, Norway). The assumed average particle diameter and bed density for IE-911 were 344 μm and 1.0 g CST media/mL bed volume, respectively. The assumed average particle diameter and bed density for sodium-form spherical RF were 457 μm and 0.2563 g RF media/mL bed volume, respectively. The baseline column design used for the calculations involved a 28-in outside diameter stainless steel column with a 6-in schedule 40 stainless steel pipe imbedded along the centerline to give an annular packed bed with a 27.375 inch outside diameter and a 6.625 inch inside diameter. The nominal packed bed height was assumed to be 15 feet tall, giving a total bed volume of 432 gallons. The external column wall is composed of 5/16 inch stainless steel. Four 3.5 inch schedule 40 half-pipe stainless steel cooling tubes are equally distributed around the perimeter of the outer column wall extending up the vertical column height. Coolant water at 25°C is supplied at 12.5 gpm to the centerline cooling tube through an internal 1.5 inch coolant supply pipe located at its center. Coolant water flow is supplied to the outer jackets at 6.25 gpm.

Equilibrium cesium loading for each media were calculated for the waste composition of interest (Table 1) using isotherm models developed specifically for each material and column performance was predicted using a liquid chromatography code. CST isotherms were generated using the ZAM code developed at Texas A&M. RF isotherms were generated

Table 1. Chemical composition of the Savannah River Site tank waste supernate sample

Species	Molarity
Na ⁺	6.0
NO ₃ ⁻	2.26
NO ₂ ⁻	0.74
OH ⁻	1.97
AlO ₂ ⁻	0.35
CO ₃ ⁻²	0.11
SO ₄ ⁻²	0.15
PO ₄ ⁻³	0.01
Cl ⁻	0.03
F ⁻	0.03
K ⁺	0.01
Cs ⁺	1.08×10^{-4}
Cs-137 (pCi/mL)	2.22×10^8
Sr ⁺	3.02×10^{-6}
Rb ⁺	1.18×10^{-5}

using the TMIXP code recently developed at the Savannah River National Laboratory. Instantaneous cesium breakthrough profiles were generated using the VERSE LC code developed at Purdue University. The model assumes that all of the effluent from the lag column is continuously collected. The resulting concentration of any species in the total effluent is termed the “bucket average” concentration. Lag column cesium breakthrough profiles (bucket average values) based on the cumulative cesium breakthrough and the solution volume processed were automatically calculated by spreadsheet that read VERSE LC output. Detailed descriptions of the ion exchange modeling methodology used are reported by Aleman and Hamm (17,18).

Thermal analysis was conducted for a two-dimensional, horizontal slice of the column using the commercial Computational Fluid Dynamics code FluentTM. Heat transfer effects in the vertical direction associated with column end effects were ignored (i.e. the columns were modeled as infinitely long). The column parameters used for thermal analysis of the CST and RF columns are provided in Table 2. In each case the maximum cesium loading (calculated with the isotherm models for a loading temperature of 25°C) was assumed with initial internal column and external air temperatures of 35°C. Heat transfer within the column was assumed to occur by conduction heat transfer with no natural convection associated with fluid movement within the bed. Heat transfer

Table 2. Thermal modeling parameters for cesium-saturated CST and RF packed columns immersed in waste supernate

Parameter	CST	RF
heat load (W/m ³)	1273	661
total bed porosity (%)	57	80
IX media-salt solution thermal conductivity (W/mK)	0.413	0.599
IX media-salt solution specific heat (J/kg · K)	2517	3140
initial column temperature (°C)	35	
coolant water temperature (°C)	25 for active cooling cases	
coolant water flow rate (gpm)	6.25 for side jackets 12.5 for centerline pipe 0.0 for cases with no active cooling	
ambient temperature (°C)	35	
heat transfer coefficient (W/m ² · K)	238 for centerline pipe wall 620 for outer column wall at jacket 1.5 for outer column wall	
heat transfer mechanism within bed	conduction	
heat transfer mechanism at column wall boundary	natural convection	
heat transfer mechanism at bed cooling system interfaces	forced convection for cases with active cooling	

from the column wall boundary to the external air (which in this case would be the waste tank headspace) was modeled as natural convection. For cases with active cooling, heat transfer at the interfaces between the column walls and the cooling system were modeled as forced convection with 25°C flowing water in the cooling system.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Granular CST (IE-911) and spherical RF resin are both highly selective for cesium removal under caustic conditions in the presence of high concentrations of sodium competitor ions. The SRS tank waste composition utilized for CST and RF performance comparisons is provided in Table 1. Of the five compositions tested in this study, this waste composition resulted in the highest Cs-137 loading levels for both ion exchange media. The compositions tested were representative of all SRS waste planned for processing using in-tank ion exchange. Predicted cesium equilibrium loading levels for CST and RF with the limiting waste type at 25°C were 224 and 133 Ci Cs-137/L packed bed, respectively. Differences in cesium loading levels are due to differences in selectivity between the various

competing ions (primarily Group 1 cations, though strontium can impact cesium loading for CST in some cases) and density differences (1.0 g/mL for CST; 0.3 g/mL for sodium-form RF), since the total cesium capacities of the media are similar on a mass basis (0.6 mmol/g CST and 0.7 mmol/g RF).

Lag column effluent bucket average cesium breakthrough profiles were calculated for both ion exchange media assuming that waste processing was conducted through two columns in series with lead/lag rotation between processing cycles. Nominal operating conditions of 25°C and a 432 gallon packed bed (15 foot tall column) were assumed for column loading operations using the waste feed composition in Table 1. Column flow rates of 5, 10, and 15 gpm were tested. Cesium breakthrough profiles for CST and RF columns are provided in Fig. 1 for the first 1,00,000 gallons of waste processed (maximum waste tank volume) at a 15 gpm flow rate. For each ion exchange media, the first cycle processed a greater volume than subsequent cycles since fresh ion exchange media was in the lead column for this cycle. Nearly steady-state performance was observed in subsequent cycles after the first for each media. Steady-state volumes processed for CST and RF columns after the completion of the first cycle were 390,000 and 257,000 gallons, respectively, which corresponds to 903 and 595 packed bed volumes (1 BV = 432 gallons) of supernate processed (based on Na-form volume for RF resin). Clearly both media have high capacity and selectivity for cesium under these conditions.




Figure 1. Cs-137 lag column effluent bucket average breakthrough profiles for crystalline silicotitanate and resorcinol formaldehyde columns at 25°C and 15 gpm flow.

Liquid phase cesium concentrations down the length of the lead/lag column train at cycle completion (45 nCi/g bucket average breakthrough) are provided for each media in Fig. 2. This plot indicates the efficiency of cesium loading and the sharpness of the cesium mass transfer zone for each material under these processing conditions. The cesium mass transfer zone extends across a distance slightly greater than one column length (15 feet) for CST and is largely contained in the lag column. The lead column is nearly saturated with cesium ions. In contrast, the cesium mass transfer zone length for RF is significantly shorter and is contained within approximately one quarter of one column length. This is a consequence of the larger effective porosity and loading kinetics of packed RF beds. Loading kinetics differences for the two media are further exemplified by separate modeling efforts at 10 and 20 gpm (not shown) which show negligible impacts of increasing the flow rate for RF on the supernate volume processed while a 14% decrease in volume processed was observed for CST.

RF resin is known to undergo chemical degradation resulting from exposure to dissolved oxygen in waste feed solutions and radiolytic degradation resulting from the radiation field associated with cesium loaded ion exchange columns. Chemical cycle testing using air-saturated simulants indicates that the maximum expected chemical degradation during cesium loading operations results in a reduction in cesium




Figure 2. Liquid phase cesium concentration profiles down the length of the column train (lead-lag columns in series) at the conclusion of the cesium loading cycle for CST and RF columns.

capacity of about 0.6% per cycle (16). Therefore, chemical degradation has a minor, though not trivial, impact upon cesium removal performance in the first 10–20 chemical cycles. Radiolytic degradation is a more significant concern with regard to RF performance, particularly with the two column processing mode assumed for the cesium performance modeling (Figs. 1 and 2). Radiation dose studies indicate that a 100 Mrad dose results in a 14% decrease in RF cesium loading capacity (15). As shown in Fig. 2, the lead column is fully loaded during the cycle and processing waste supernate through the lead/lag column train to a 45 nCi/g lag column breakthrough limit would result in significant radiation dose to the lead column as the cesium mass transfer zone passes through the lag column. Given the sharpness of the RF mass transfer zone (Fig. 2), a single column configuration will utilize much of the resin capacity. In contrast, the broader mass transfer zone for CST requires the lag column to fully load the lead column.

Simple and conservative estimates of RF radiation exposure for the lead and lag columns under the assumed processing mode (45 nCi/g bucket average lag column breakthrough limit per cycle, lead column elution, lead/lag rotation) have been calculated (19). Based on the anticipated equilibrium cesium loadings for this feed, the RF radiation dose rate is 4.4 Mrad/day. It was assumed that the entire radiation dose was absorbed, although a fraction (perhaps 25%) will likely escape from the column. RF exposure times were estimated for 10 and 20 gpm flow rates based on the total predicted waste volume processed per cycle. Full resin capacity was assumed until the 100 Mrad dose limit was reached for either column, at which point the resin was considered spent. Fractional cesium loadings in each cycle and the effects of gradual and (assumed) linear loading were accounted for by adjustments to the total dose rates. A correction of 0.75 was applied for the lead column since column processing was continued approximately twice as long as was needed for saturation of the lead column. This corresponds to a dose correction of 0.5 for the first half of the processing time during lead column loading and 1.0 during the second half of processing when the lead column is saturated. A correction of 0.25 was applied for the lag column maximum dose since this column received no dose during the first half of processing and a time-averaged dose of 0.5 during the remainder of processing in a given cycle. Fractional cesium loading corrections of 90 and 80% were also applied to the lag columns for 10 and 20 gpm flow rates, respectively, based on the calculated loading profiles (such as the one shown in Fig. 2).

Not surprisingly, this processing mode results in significant RF radiation dose per cycle and resin lifetimes of only 2 and 5 cycles were estimated for flow rates of 10 and 20 gpm, respectively (19). Processing at a higher flow rate has minimal impact upon the cesium breakthrough

profile but decreases the RF radiation exposure time by 50%. Obviously, higher flow rates are preferred for RF resin. Based on these results, alternative processing modes were recommended for RF columns. Operating with a 45 nCi/g breakthrough limit results in significant unnecessary radiation dose to the RF resin and is considered the worst operating mode for this material. Alternative recommended processing strategies included two column series operations with a 50% lead column instantaneous cesium breakthrough limit (intermediate dosage) and single column operation with a 45 nCi/g bucket average processing limit (lowest dosage). Single column operation is expected to at least double resin process lifetime relative to the baseline case.

Thermal analysis calculations for cesium-saturated CST and RF columns were conducted for various processing cases with emphasis on accident scenarios expected to lead to significant temperature increases within the beds (20). Packed columns loaded with radioactive cesium generate significant heat associated with radiolytic decay. As long as liquid is continuously processed through the columns, temperature rises within the bed are minimal. Even at the low waste feed flow rate of 5 gpm the increase in solution temperature during processing through 15 foot tall cesium-saturated CST and RF packed beds (baseline in-tank column design without active cooling) are only predicted to be 1.7 and 0.8°C, respectively. Two accident scenarios were evaluated by thermal analysis involving no fluid flow through the columns to determine the maximum expected bed temperatures and temperature distributions versus time for each media. The modeling parameters and assumptions are provided in Table 2. The modeling parameters are conservative for various reasons including:

- maximum heat loads were based on 25°C cesium isotherms while the thermal analysis assumes an initial column temperature of 35°C where lower cesium loadings are expected for each media,
- cesium-saturation is assumed although this is only the case at the end of a loading cycle,
- convective heat transfer mechanisms in the packed beds are ignored which would assist heat transfer out of the columns,
- air is the assumed fluid external to the columns although the columns will be at least partially immersed in salt solution most of the time,
- natural convection alone is considered for heat transfer from the columns to the air, although some forced air flow in the tank headspace is expected.

The first thermal analysis case was considered bounding with regard to the maximum achievable temperatures within the columns since it

involved simultaneous occurrence of the following conditions: maximum column heat load, no column liquid immersion, loss of process fluid flow, and loss of the active cooling system. The maximum and minimum column temperatures versus time for CST and RF columns under these conditions are provided in Fig. 3. The maximum steady-state temperatures of CST and RF-packed beds under these conditions were calculated to be 156 and 88°C, respectively. However, the CST/salt solution mixture is expected to boil near 130°C. As expected, based on the heat loads associated with the cesium-saturated columns and the relative porosities, thermal conductivities, and heat capacities of the packed beds (Table 2), RF columns heat up more slowly than CST columns and have much lower steady-state temperatures. RF columns are not expected to reach boiling even under these bounding conditions. However, material temperature limits for CST and RF media are believed to be near 65°C, and both media are at risk for damage leading to decreased cesium loading if this processing scenario were to occur unmitigated. Media damage may occur within 48 hours for CST and 96 hours for RF. Given the significantly higher temperatures predicted for CST columns, subsequent thermal modeling efforts were focused on this media.




Figure 3. Maximum and minimum temperatures versus time for CST and RF columns under bounding conditions (highest Cs-137 loading, stagnant liquid flow, no active cooling).

Temperature distribution profiles within packed CST columns with and without active cooling are provided in Fig. 4. Without active cooling the maximum temperature is located at the innermost portion of the packed bed. With active coolant flow through the inner centerline pipe and the outer cooling jackets significantly lower temperatures are observed and the location of the temperature maximum shifts to near the column center (lowest distribution in Fig. 4). The maximum steady-state temperature for the CST column with active coolant flow is 63°C, which is just below the media temperature limit. The maximum steady state temperature for RF with active coolant flow (not shown) is 41°C. Temperature distributions were also calculated for other column design options with only centerline cooling and only jacket cooling. Clearly, the most effective portion of the coolant system is the centerline pipe, as would be expected given that it is the center of the columns that tend to heat up (as shown for the “no active cooling” case). The maximum steady-state temperatures predicted for centerline only and jacket only cooling options are 80 and 114°C, respectively. If it is determined that the project temperature limit for CST media is greater than 65°C or that the timescale for column heat-up is acceptable, a design simplification




Figure 4. Steady-state temperature profiles for various accident and operating scenarios with the CST column at the maximum Cs-137 loading.

may be possible involving the removal of the outer jacket portion of the coolant system. This design simplification is also possible should RF be the preferred media.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, modeling predictions for the processing of a known SRS tank waste composition were generated. Using a two column configuration under nominal operating conditions (432 gallon packed bed, 10 gpm liquid flow, 25°C, 45 nCi/g average breakthrough limit) with lead/lag column rotation between processing cycles, model predictions indicated that approximately two cycles were required to treat 1,000,000 gallons of radioactive waste with CST as compared to three cycles for RF. However, this processing mode is highly unfavorable for RF because the lead column is exposed to large radiation doses during movement of the cesium mass transfer zone into the lag column. Thermal modeling calculations indicated that maximum temperatures within stagnant, packed CST and RF columns containing the highest anticipated cesium loading and no active cooling will reach 128 and 78°C, respectively, within 6 days. Active cooling maintains the cesium-saturated CST and RF columns below 88 and 41°C, respectively, under stagnant flow conditions.

Good performance with regard to cesium removal from SRS waste supernates was predicted for both CST and RF engineered media. More waste can be processed per treatment cycle under the baseline conditions with CST than RF, but CST is non-reusable and requires lead column replacement after each cycle. RF resin is elutable and reusable, though it is subject to chemical and radiolytic damage leading to reduced capacity with each processing cycle. Rapid cesium loading kinetics with RF resin allows for considerable processing flexibility and the ability to minimize chemical and radiolytic exposure per cycle. Risks from thermal excursions within the columns under accident scenarios are greater with CST primarily due to the higher cesium loading. In the worst case bounding situation without active cooling, cesium-saturated CST columns can reach the solution boiling point. The baseline cooling system for the in-tank column design provides adequate cooling capacity to maintain the CST columns within process limits and modeling efforts indicate that a design simplification may be possible involving removal of the outer cooling jackets. The physical, chemical, and performance properties of both media are quite good. Technology selection for in-tank column processing will likely be weighted toward downstream impacts and dealing with secondary waste streams generated from the ion exchange process.

REFERENCES

1. Anthony, R.G.; Dosch, R.G.; Philip, C.V. (2002) Silico-titanates and their Methods of Making and Using. U.S. Patent #6,479,427 (November 12, 2002).
2. Sherman, J.D.; Willis, R.R.; Bedard, R.L.; Behan, A.S.; Connolly, P. (1999) Shaped Articles and Process for Preparing the Article. U.S. Patent #5,925,284 (July 20, 1999).
3. Cherry, B.R.; May, N.; Alam, T.M. (2004) Investigation of cation environment and framework changes in silicotitanate exchange materials using solid-state ^{23}Na , ^{29}Si , and ^{133}Cs MAS NMR. *J. Solid State Chem.*, 177: 2079.
4. Hendrickson, D.W. (1999) Hanford Salt Cake Cesium Removal Using Crystalline Silicotitanate. SGN Eurisys Services Corporation, SESC-EN-RPT-006, Rev. 0.
5. Walker, D.D. (1999) Cesium Removal from Savannah River Site Radioactive Waste Using Crystalline Silicotitanate Ionsiv IE-911. Savannah River National Laboratory document, WSRC-TR-99-00308, Rev. 0.
6. Walker, J.F., Jr.; Taylor, P.A.; Cummins, R.L.; Evans, B.S.; Heath, S.D.; Hewitt, J.D.; Hunt, R.D.; Jennings, H.L.; Kilby, J.A.; Lee, D.D.; Lewis-Lambert, S.; Richardson, S.A.; Utrera, R.F. (1998) Cesium Removal Demonstration Utilizing Crystalline Silicotitanate Sorbent for Processing Melton Valley Storage Tank Supernate: Final Report. Oak Ridge National Laboratory document, ORNL/TM-13503, Rev. 0.
7. Walker, J.F.; Taylor, P.A.; Spence, R.D.; Slater, C.O.; Walker, D.D.; Bickford, D.F.; Lee, S.Y.; Aleman, S.E.; Hamm, L.L. (2004) Small Column Ion Exchange Alternative to Remove Cs-137 from Low-Curie Salt Waste: Summary of Phase I, Oak Ridge National Laboratory document, ORNL/TM-2003/287, Rev. 0.
8. Thorson, M. (2006) Basis for Recommendation of Spherical Resorcinol Formaldehyde as the Approved Equivalent to SuperLig 644. Bechtel company document, 24590-WTP-RPT-RT-06-001, Rev. 0.
9. Hubler, T.L.; Franz, J.A.; Shaw, W.J.; Bryan, S.A.; Hallen, R.T.; Brown, G.N.; Bray, L.A.; Linehan, J.C. (1995) Synthesis, Structural Characterization, and Performance Evaluations of Resorcinol-Formaldehyde (R-F) Ion Exchange Resin. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory document, PNL-10744 UC-721.
10. Ebra, M.A.; Wallace, R.M. (1983) Phenolic Cation Exchange Resin Material for Recovery of Cesium and Strontium. U.S. Patent #4,423,159 (December 27, 1983).
11. Berge, A.; Nilsen, T.; Ugelstad, J. (1997) Process for Preparing a Dispersion and for Preparing Polymer Particles. U.S. Patent #5,677,373 (October 14, 1997).
12. Fiskum, S.K.; Arm, S.T.; Fountain, M.S.; Steele, M.J.; Blanchard, Jr., D.L. (2006) Spherical Recorcinol-Formaldehyde Resin Testing for ^{137}Cs Removal from Simulated and Actual Hanford Waste Tank 241-AP-101 Diluted Feed

- (Envelope A) Using Small Column Ion Exchange. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory report, WTP-RPT-134, Rev 0.
13. Fiskum, S.K.; Steele, M.J.; Blanchard, Jr., D.L. (2006) Small Column Ion Exchange Testing of Spherical Resorcinol-Formaldehyde Resin for ^{137}Cs Removal from Pre-treated Hanford Tank 241-AN-102 Waste (Envelope C). Pacific Northwest National Laboratory document, WTP-RPT-135, Rev 1.
 14. Crawford, C.L.; Bibler, N.E.; Bibler, J.P. (1994) An Investigation of the Radiolytic Stability of a Resorcinol-Formaldehyde Ion Exchange Resin. Waste Management 1994 Proceedings, Volume 1, Editor R. G. Post, 701.
 15. Duffey, C.E.; Walker, D.D. (2006) Radiolytic, Thermal, and Physical Degradation Testing of Spherical Resorcinol-Formaldehyde Resin (U), Savannah River National Laboratory document, WSRC-TR-2005-00075, Rev. 0.
 16. Fiskum, S.K.; Arm, S.T.; Buchmiller, W.C.; Trange-Le, T.; Martinez, J.E.; Matyas, J.; Steele, M.J.; Thomas, K.K.; Blanchard, Jr., D.L. (2006) Comparison Testing of Multiple Spherical Resorcinol-Formaldehyde Resins for the River Protection Project-Waste Treatment Plant. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory document, WTP-RPT-143, Rev 0.
 17. Aleman, S.E. (2004) Small Column Ion Exchange Analysis for Removal of Cesium from SRS Low Curie Salt Solutions Using Crystalline Silicotitanate (CST) Resin. WSRC-TR-2003-00430, Rev. 1.
 18. Aleman, S.E.; Hamm, L.L.; Smith, F.G. (2007) Ion Exchange Modeling of Cesium Removal from Hanford Waste Using Spherical Resorcinol-Formaldehyde Resin. WSRC-STI-2007-00030, Rev. 0.
 19. King, W.D. (2007) Literature Reviews to Support Ion Exchange Technology Selection for Modular Salt Processing. Savannah River National Laboratory document, WSRC-STI-2007-00609, Rev. 0.
 20. Lee, S.Y. (2007) Heat Transfer Analysis for Fixed CST and RF Columns. Savannah River National Laboratory document, WSRC-STI-2007-00345, Rev. 0.